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Abstract
A few studies have revealed the self-perceived gingival status using questionnaires among children. Perceived health is a crucial factor that has an impact on quality of life. The objective of the study was to assess self-perceived and clinically diagnosed gingival status among children in Indonesia. This was a cross-sectional study of 494 schoolchildren (aged 12–15 years). Periodontal status was recorded using the gingival index (GI) and plaque index (PI) based on the World Health Organization standards. Data were collected through a brief visual, non-invasive clinical oral examination and a self-administered questionnaire. The sensitivity and specificity of self-perceived assessment were calculated using normative assessment as the gold standard. This study showed that self-perceived need for dental treatment showed the highest sensitivity (86% using PI and 85% using GI) and self-perceived swollen gums showed the highest specificity (89% using PI and 88% using GI) for clinically diagnosed plaque (PI cut-off value: 0.74) and gingival problems (GI cut-off value: 0.51). In conclusion, both self-perceived variables showed significant discordance between their respective sensitivity and specificity. Self-perceived information is at a higher-level unawareness that does not reflect the current gingival status. Thus, public health strategies are needed to improve the awareness of better oral health among children by promoting, empowering, and advocating.

Keywords: dental plaque index, gingival index, sensitivity and specificity, self-perception

Introduction
Periodontal diseases and dental caries are highly prevalent chronic conditions across the world (Jin et al., 2016). Oral diseases and conditions can affect individuals across the life course, and have a negative impact on quality of life (Thomson & Broder, 2018). Periodontal disease is one of the most prevalent diseases in Indonesia, especially among children. In a study conducted in Jakarta, 68% schoolchildren aged 12 years were found to have gingivitis (Adiatman et al., 2016). Gingivitis is a periodontal condition that is a local response developed from dental plaque, whose clinical signs include gingival bleeding. Poor oral hygiene and behavior will impact on gingival status (Rosalien et al., 2018). Therefore, improvement in oral health conditions is a key imperative which also requires valid measurement to diagnose and identify the diseases.

The comparison between clinical (normative) and self-perceived assessment are important to evaluate the efficacy diagnostic data of oral health status (Maharani et al., 2019). Traditionally, the clinical or normative assessment has been predominantly used in dentistry to measure oral health status and treatment needs (Alves, de Andrade, & Vettore, 2015). However, for a large country such as Indonesia, it is difficult to undertake oral health survey
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annually (Maharani, 2009a). In a time of limited resources, as is currently the case in Indonesia, the use of subjective indicators as screening instruments provide a rapid and inexpensive way to annually evaluate oral health in Indonesia (Maharani, 2009a).

It has been suggested that self-perceived oral health and subjective perceptions regarding oral health status play a key role on whether people will seek oral health care and improve the awareness for better oral health. On the other hand, lack of perceptions regarding oral health need constitutes an important barrier to the awareness and utilization of oral health care services (Maharani, 2009b). Self-perceived assessment has been found to be a useful measurement to assess an individual’s oral health status (Ueno, Zaitsu, Ohara, Wright, & Kawaguchi, 2015). Although studies about self-perception exist, only few studies have evaluated the validity of self-perceived compared to clinically diagnosed gingival status among children in Indonesia. Hence, this study aimed to analyze the sensitivity and specificity of the self-perceived oral hygiene status and the gingival status clinically diagnosed among children 12–15 years of age.

**Methods**

**Study Design**

A cross-sectional study was carried out among junior high school students in Jakarta. Six schools were randomly selected out of the 287 officially listed schools. The schools were located across Central Jakarta, East Jakarta, South Jakarta, and North Jakarta. Subsequently, all children in the target age group of 12–15 years old and within the selected schools were invited to take part in this research.

**Sample Size Calculation**

Sample size was calculated considering 80% statistical power and α level of 0.05. Considering an effect of 1.2 owing to cluster sampling and a further 15% to account for nonresponse, 597 students were invited to participate in the study. However, 71 participants did not participate in both the clinical examination as well as the questionnaire, while 32 schoolchildren either did not attend clinical examination or did not complete the questionnaire.

**Ethical Approval**

Ethical approval was obtained from the Research Ethics Committee of Faculty Dentistry, Universitas Indonesia (No. 17/Ethical Approval/ FKGUI/IV/2017).

**Data Collection**

Data collection was conducted during April–July 2017. Information sheets and consent forms were distributed and obtained from parents and children to obtain consent and assent. The process was facilitated by the teachers. Data were collected through a brief visual non-invasive clinical oral examination and administration of a questionnaire. This study involved two dentists and two interviewers who were adequately trained to maintain standardization in all study procedures. Kappa scores for gingival index (GI) and plaque index (PI) were 0.70 and 0.71, respectively. The oral examination focused on measurement of GI and PI. Both indices were determined using dental probe and dental mirrors (Petersen, Baez, & World Health Organization, 2013). PI and GI were used to assess oral hygiene and gingival health of the children, with scores as follows: PI = ‘0’ no plaque, ‘1’ plaque visible on probing only, ‘2’ visible plaque; GI = ‘0’ no bleeding, ‘1’ minimal to moderate bleeding, ‘2’ widespread or spontaneous bleeding. Both in PI and GI recording, if the measurement was unable to be taken on the tooth, such as missing tooth, the measurement was not recorded (Adiatman et al., 2016). Perceived oral health was defined by the individual’s self-assessed oral health status. Each participant was required to answer eight questions. The questions pertained to the individual self-perceived oral health condition, their satisfaction related to their teeth, their self-perceived treatment needs, crooked teeth condition, bleeding gums, swollen gums, dental plaque and/or tartar, and bad breath. The sensitivity (the proportion of people with disease who have a positive result) and specificity (the proportion of people without the disease who have a negative result) of various questions in the self-perceived assessment questionnaire were calculated using clinical examination as the gold standard (Habib, Alalyani, Hussain, & Almutheibi, 2015).

**Data Analysis**

Data were checked, entered, and cleaned in SPSS 20 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA), which was further used for statistical analysis. Statistically significant levels were chosen at \( p < 0.05 \).

**Results**

Overall, 494 students (82.7% response rate) completed the consent and assent form, underwent clinical examination, and completed the questionnaire. The students consisted of 59% girls and 41% boys. Almost all students have plaque and gingivitis, with
mean PI and GI less than 1, which corresponds to relatively good oral hygiene and to mild gingivitis category (Table 1).

Further, the cut-off points for each index were based on the mean value. PI scores from 0 to 0.74 was considered good oral hygiene status, and scores above 0.74 were categorized as lower oral hygiene status. Whereas GI scores between 0 and 0.51, and more than 0.51 were categorized as good and poor gingival health status, respectively. The sensitivity and specificity of different self-perceived variables in the questionnaire were calculated using the cut-off values for PI and GI (Table 2). Self-perceived need for oral treatment showed the highest sensitivity, while its specificity was low. On the other hand, swollen gums showed the highest specificity, while its sensitivity was low. Similar results were obtained for both oral treatment needs and swollen gums when assessed against the gingival index.

### Discussion

This study found that self-perception of oral hygiene and gingival health did not show good agreement with clinical assessed plaque and gingival index. The self-perceived need for oral health treatment showed good sensitivity for both indices; however, the specificity value for both indices were low. Opinion regarding dental health condition showed moderate sensitivity and specificity. Perception of oral health could differ by lifestyles, health behaviors, diet, and socioeconomic status (Ueno et al., 2015). Although clinical data might be the preferred measure for surveillance of oral health assessment, the cost and resources for acquiring self-report measures may be more attainable. Thus, surveillance of oral health based on self-perceived measures can be used in the interim to broaden surveillance where resources for clinically based surveillance are scarce (Eke et al., 2013).

Bleeding gums that are easily noticed by children showed higher sensitivity and specificity for relatively lower oral hygiene and gingival status. These findings are consistent with those reported by Baser, Germen, Erdem, Issever, and Yalcın (2014). Children’s perception of swollen gums, which is a clinical sign of gingivitis, was captured by the question: The question, “In the past three months, have you ever had swollen gums?” showed a lower sensitivity as compared to its specificity. These finding give a valuable insight for public health services that children do not feel their oral health compromised until they are affected by certain clinical signs or certain symptoms. Similar results were found in Japanese study, where the participants may not have sought dental treatment until the symptoms

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plaque index</td>
<td>492 (99.6)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gingival index</td>
<td>473 (95.7)</td>
<td>0.51 (0.55)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>GI = 0.51</th>
<th>PI = 0.74</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SS (%)</td>
<td>SP (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opinion regarding dental health condition</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction with dental health condition</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral treatment needs</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crooked teeth</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bleeding gums</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swollen gums</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque and/or tartar</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad breath</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SS: sensitivity; SP: specificity; GI: gingival index; PI: plaque index.
occurred (Ueno et al., 2015). The method of diagnosis for oral hygiene and gingival health, based on a full-mouth examination, may be impractical for use in population-based studies for reasons of time and cost. Although self-reported oral health have demonstrated potential bias in estimating plaque and gingival index, full-mouth examination might still be impractical for large studies. Therefore, screening for oral health disease using self-reported oral health information might still be useful in large epidemiologic surveys (Litaker, 2014).

The findings suggest that children seem unable to detect properly that whether they are affected by oral hygiene problem; they tend to overestimate their oral health condition. Furthermore, the level of oral health perception and perceived need have been found to influence oral health seeking behavior and is related to the utilization of dental services for early detection and prevention of oral health diseases (Maharani, 2009b; Maharani & Rahardjo, 2012). The limitation of this study is in the generalizability. This study sample is representative of the population in Jakarta, the capital city of Indonesia. Further study need to be in a large sampling to represents Indonesia’s adolescents overall. The present study highlights several important issues. It has been noted that schoolchildren have less ability to recognize oral hygiene and gingival health problems and that they might be having low level of awareness of the need for dental treatment as well. However, financial constraints, culture, and poor accessibility to health services might also be responsible for the high prevalence of oral health diseases.

Conclusion

None of the sensitivity and specificity of self-perceived questionnaire using plaque and gingival indices showed high for both variables. Oral health promotion strategies must be developed to improve the awareness and better oral health.
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